### Proof theory for indexed nested sequents

### Sonia Marin With Lutz Straßburger

Inria, LIX, École Polytechnique

Tableaux'17 September 26, 2017

**Formulas:**  $A ::= a \mid \overline{a} \mid A \land A \mid A \lor A$ 

 $A \supset B \equiv (\neg A) \lor B$ 

Logic K: Classical Propositional Logic

**Formulas:**  $A ::= a \mid \overline{a} \mid A \land A \mid A \lor A$ 

 $A \supset B \equiv (\neg A) \lor B$ 

Logic K: Classical Propositional Logic

Sequent system:

$$id \frac{\Gamma, \bar{a}, a}{\Gamma, \bar{a}, a} \qquad \wedge \frac{\Gamma, A \quad \Gamma, B}{\Gamma, A \wedge B} \qquad \vee \frac{\Gamma, A, B}{\Gamma, A \vee B}$$

**Formulas:**  $A ::= a \mid \overline{a} \mid A \land A \mid A \lor A \mid \Box A \mid \Diamond A$   $A \supset B \equiv (\neg A) \lor B$ 

**Logic** K: Classical Propositional Logic +  $k : \Box(A \supset B) \supset (\Box A \supset \Box B)$  + necessitation:  $\frac{A}{\Box A}$ 

**Semantics:** Relational models (W, R) (Kripke 1963)

Sequent system: (Onishi and Matsumoto 1957)

$$id \frac{\Gamma, \overline{a}, \overline{a}}{\Gamma, \overline{a}, \overline{a}} \qquad \wedge \frac{\Gamma, A \quad \Gamma, B}{\Gamma, A \wedge B} \qquad \vee \frac{\Gamma, A, B}{\Gamma, A \vee B} \qquad k \frac{\Gamma, A}{\Diamond \Gamma, \Box A}$$

### **Scott-Lemmon axioms:** for a tuple (k, l, m, n) of natural numbers,

$$g_{klmn}$$
:  $(\diamond^k \Box^l A \supset \Box^m \diamond^n A) \land (\diamond^m \Box^n A \supset \Box^k \diamond^l A)$ 

where  $\Box^m$  stands for *m* boxes and  $\Diamond^n$  for *n* diamonds.

**Scott-Lemmon axioms:** for a tuple (k, l, m, n) of natural numbers,

$$g_{\mathsf{k}\mathsf{Imn}} \colon (\diamondsuit^k \Box^l A \supset \Box^m \diamondsuit^n A) \land (\diamondsuit^m \Box^n A \supset \Box^k \diamondsuit^l A)$$

where  $\Box^m$  stands for *m* boxes and  $\Diamond^n$  for *n* diamonds.

Frame property: (Scott and Lemmon 1977) for all  $w, u, v \in W$  with  $wR^k u$  and  $wR^m v$ , there is a  $z \in W$  such that  $uR^l z$  and  $vR^n z$ .

Nested sequents generalise sequents from a multiset of formulas

Sequent:

A, B, C

Nested sequents generalise sequents from a multiset of formulas to a tree of multisets of formulas.

Nested sequent:

$$\begin{array}{c} A, B, C \\ D & D, A \\ B & C & E \end{array}$$

In the sequent term, brackets indicate the parent-child relation in the tree

Nested sequent:

$$\begin{array}{c} A, B, C \\ D & D, A \\ I & C & E \end{array}$$

 $\Gamma = A, B, C, [D, [B]], [D, A, [C], [E]]$ 

In the sequent term, brackets indicate the parent-child relation in the tree and can be interpreted as the modal  $\Box$ .

Nested sequent:



 $\Gamma = A, B, C, [D, [B]], [D, A, [C], [E]]$ 

 $A \lor B \lor C \lor \Box (D \lor \Box B) \lor \Box (D \lor A \lor \Box C \lor \Box E)$ 

A context is obtained by removing a formula and replacing it by a hole

Sequent context:

 $\Gamma\{ \} = A, B, C, [\{ \}, [B]], [D, A, [C], [E]]$ 

A context is obtained by removing a formula and replacing it by a hole that can then be filled by another nested sequent.

Sequent context:



 $\Gamma\{C, [E]\} = A, B, C, [C, [E], [B]], [D, A, [C], [E]]$ 

This allows us to build rules than can be applied at any depth in the tree.

Sequent context:



 $\Gamma\{C, [E]\} = A, B, C, [C, [E], [B]], [D, A, [C], [E]]$ 

### Sequent-like rules:

$$\wedge \frac{\Gamma, A \quad \Gamma, B}{\Gamma, A \wedge B} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \wedge \frac{\Gamma\{A\} \quad \Gamma\{B\}}{\Gamma\{A \wedge B\}} \quad \qquad \vee \frac{\Gamma, A, B}{\Gamma, A \vee B} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \vee \frac{\Gamma\{A, B\}}{\Gamma\{A \vee B\}}$$

### Sequent-like rules:

$$\wedge \frac{\Gamma, A \cap B}{\Gamma, A \wedge B} \longrightarrow \wedge \frac{\Gamma\{A\} \cap \{B\}}{\Gamma\{A \wedge B\}} \vee \frac{\Gamma, A, B}{\Gamma, A \vee B} \longrightarrow \vee \frac{\Gamma\{A, B\}}{\Gamma\{A \vee B\}}$$
Nested rules: 
$$\Box \frac{\Gamma\{[A]\}}{\Gamma\{\Box A\}} \qquad \diamond \frac{\Gamma\{[A, \Delta]\}}{\Gamma\{\Diamond A, [\Delta]\}}$$

$$k \frac{\Delta, A}{\Diamond \Delta, \Box A} \longrightarrow \qquad \diamond \frac{\Gamma\{[A]\}}{\Box \frac{\Gamma\{\langle A, B\}}{\Gamma\{\Box A\}}} \qquad \diamond \frac{\Gamma\{[A, \Delta]\}}{\Box \frac{\Gamma\{\langle A, B\}}{\Gamma\{\langle A, B\}}}$$

Nested Sequent:  $\Gamma ::= A_1, \ldots, A_m, [\Gamma_1], \ldots, [\Gamma_n]$ Corresponding formula:  $\operatorname{fm}(\Gamma) = A_1 \lor \ldots \lor A_m \lor \Box \operatorname{fm}(\Gamma_1) \lor \ldots \lor \Box \operatorname{fm}(\Gamma_n)$ Sequent context:  $\Gamma\{ \}\{ \}\{ \} = A, [\{ \}], [B, \{ \}, [\{ \}]]$ System nK:

$$\mathsf{id}\;\frac{\Gamma\{A,B\}}{\Gamma\{a,\bar{a}\}} \quad \lor \;\frac{\Gamma\{A,B\}}{\Gamma\{A\lor B\}} \quad \land \;\frac{\Gamma\{A\}}{\Gamma\{A\land B\}} \quad \Box \;\frac{\Gamma\{[A]\}}{\Gamma\{\Box A\}} \quad \diamondsuit \;\frac{\Gamma\{\diamondsuit A,[A,\Delta]\}}{\Gamma\{\diamondsuit A,[\Delta]\}}$$

Theorem: System nK is sound and complete for the logic K.

Indexed Nested Sequent:  $\Gamma ::= A_1, \ldots, A_m, [{}^{w_1}\Gamma_1], \ldots, [{}^{w_n}\Gamma_n]$ No corresponding formula in the general case Indexed context:  $\Gamma\{{}^2\}\{{}^1\}\{{}^2\} = A, [{}^2\{\}], [{}^1B, \{\}, [{}^2\{\}]]$ System inK:

$$\mathsf{id} \ \frac{\Gamma\{A,B\}}{\Gamma\{a,\bar{a}\}} \quad \lor \ \frac{\Gamma\{A,B\}}{\Gamma\{A\lor B\}} \quad \land \ \frac{\Gamma\{A\}}{\Gamma\{A\land B\}} \quad \Box \ \frac{\Gamma\{[{}^{\lor}A]\}}{\Gamma\{\Box A\}} \quad \diamondsuit \ \frac{\Gamma\{\diamondsuit A,[{}^{``}A,\Delta]\}}{\Gamma\{\diamondsuit A,[{}^{``}\Delta]\}}$$

Indexed Nested Sequent:  $\Gamma ::= A_1, \ldots, A_m, [{}^{w_1}\Gamma_1], \ldots, [{}^{w_n}\Gamma_n]$ No corresponding formula in the general case Indexed context:  $\Gamma\{{}^2C\}\{{}^1\}\{{}^2\} = A, [{}^2C], [{}^1B, \{\}, [{}^2\{\}]]$ System inK:

$$\mathsf{id} \ \frac{\Gamma\{A,B\}}{\Gamma\{a,\bar{a}\}} \quad \lor \ \frac{\Gamma\{A,B\}}{\Gamma\{A\lor B\}} \quad \land \ \frac{\Gamma\{A\}}{\Gamma\{A\land B\}} \quad \Box \ \frac{\Gamma\{[{}^{\lor}A]\}}{\Gamma\{\Box A\}} \quad \diamondsuit \ \frac{\Gamma\{\diamondsuit A,[{}^{``}A,\Delta]\}}{\Gamma\{\diamondsuit A,[{}^{``}\Delta]\}}$$

Indexed Nested Sequent:  $\Gamma ::= A_1, \ldots, A_m, [{}^{w_1}\Gamma_1], \ldots, [{}^{w_n}\Gamma_n]$ No corresponding formula in the general case Indexed context:  $\Gamma\{{}^2C\}\{{}^1[{}^3D]\}\{{}^2\} = A, [{}^2C], [{}^1B, [{}^3D], [{}^2\{\}]]$ System inK:

$$\mathsf{id} \ \frac{\Gamma\{A,B\}}{\Gamma\{a,\bar{a}\}} \quad \lor \ \frac{\Gamma\{A,B\}}{\Gamma\{A\lor B\}} \quad \land \ \frac{\Gamma\{A\}}{\Gamma\{A\land B\}} \quad \Box \ \frac{\Gamma\{[{}^{\lor}A]\}}{\Gamma\{\Box A\}} \quad \diamondsuit \ \frac{\Gamma\{\diamondsuit A,[{}^{``}A,\Delta]\}}{\Gamma\{\diamondsuit A,[{}^{``}\Delta]\}}$$

Indexed Nested Sequent:  $\Gamma ::= A_1, \ldots, A_m, [{}^{w_1}\Gamma_1], \ldots, [{}^{w_n}\Gamma_n]$ No corresponding formula in the general case Indexed context:  $\Gamma\{{}^2C\}\{{}^1[{}^3D]\}\{{}^2A, [{}^4C]\} = A, [{}^2C], [{}^1B, [{}^3D], [{}^2A, [{}^4C]]]$ System inK:

$$\mathsf{id} \frac{}{\Gamma\{a,\bar{a}\}} \quad \lor \frac{\Gamma\{A,B\}}{\Gamma\{A\lor B\}} \quad \land \frac{\Gamma\{A\}}{\Gamma\{A\land B\}} \quad \Box \frac{\Gamma\{[{}^{\lor}A]\}}{\Gamma\{\Box A\}} \quad \diamondsuit \frac{\Gamma\{\diamondsuit A,[{}^{``}A,\Delta]\}}{\Gamma\{\diamondsuit A,[{}^{``}\Delta]\}}$$

Indexed Nested Sequent:  $\Gamma ::= A_1, \ldots, A_m, [{}^{w_1}\Gamma_1], \ldots, [{}^{w_n}\Gamma_n]$ No corresponding formula in the general case Indexed context:  $\Gamma\{{}^2\}\{{}^1\}\{{}^2\} = A, [{}^2\{\}], [{}^1B, \{\}, [{}^2\{\}]]$ System inK:

$$\operatorname{id} \frac{\Gamma\{A, B\}}{\Gamma\{a, \overline{a}\}} \vee \frac{\Gamma\{A, B\}}{\Gamma\{A \vee B\}} \wedge \frac{\Gamma\{A\}}{\Gamma\{A \wedge B\}} \square \frac{\Gamma\{[{}^{V}A]\}}{\Gamma\{\Box A\}} \Leftrightarrow \frac{\Gamma\{\Diamond A, [{}^{u}A, \Delta]\}}{\Gamma\{\Diamond A, [{}^{u}\Delta]\}}$$

$$\operatorname{tp} \frac{\Gamma\{\overset{w}{}_{\emptyset} \otimes \{\overset{w}{}_{A}\}}{\Gamma\{\overset{w}{}_{A}\}\{\varnothing\}} \quad \operatorname{bc}_{1} \frac{\Gamma\{\overset{w}{}_{1} \overset{u}{}_{\Delta}]\}\{\overset{w}{}_{0}\}}{\Gamma\{\overset{w}{}_{1} \overset{u}{}_{\Delta}]\}\{\varnothing\}} \quad \operatorname{bc}_{2} \frac{\Gamma_{1}\{\overset{w}{}_{1} \overset{u}{}_{\Gamma\{\overset{w}{}_{1} \overset{u}{}_{\Delta}]\}}]}{\Gamma_{1}\{\overset{w}{}_{1} \overset{u}{}_{\Gamma\{\overset{w}{}_{2} \overset{w}{}_{M\}}\}}\}}$$

(Fitting, 2015)

Indexed Nested Sequent:  $\Gamma ::= A_1, \ldots, A_m, [{}^{w_1}\Gamma_1], \ldots, [{}^{w_n}\Gamma_n]$ No corresponding formula in the general case Indexed context:  $\Gamma\{{}^2\}\{{}^1\}\{{}^2\} = A, [{}^2\{\}], [{}^1B, \{\}, [{}^2\{\}]]$ System inK:

$$\operatorname{id} \frac{\Gamma\{A,B\}}{\Gamma\{a,\bar{a}\}} \vee \frac{\Gamma\{A,B\}}{\Gamma\{A\vee B\}} \wedge \frac{\Gamma\{A\}}{\Gamma\{A\wedge B\}} \Box \frac{\Gamma\{[{}^{v}A]\}}{\Gamma\{\Box A\}} \Leftrightarrow \frac{\Gamma\{\diamond A,[{}^{u}A,\Delta]\}}{\Gamma\{\diamond A,[{}^{u}\Delta]\}}$$

$$\operatorname{tp} \frac{\Gamma\{\overset{w}{=} \emptyset\}\{\overset{w}{=} A\}}{\Gamma\{\overset{w}{=} A\}\{\varnothing\}} \operatorname{bc}_{1} \frac{\Gamma\{\overset{w}{=} [\overset{u}{=} \Delta]\}\{\overset{w}{=} I\}}{\Gamma\{\overset{w}{=} I\}\{\varnothing\}} \operatorname{bc}_{2} \frac{\Gamma\{\overset{w}{=} I^{u}[\overset{u}{=} J\}\{\overset{w}{=} I\}\}}{\Gamma\{\overset{w}{=} I^{u}[J]\}\{\varnothing\}}$$

**Theorem:** System inK is sound and complete for the logic K.

(Fitting, 2015)

**Scott-Lemmon axioms:** for a tuple (k, l, m, n) of natural numbers,

$$g_{klmn}$$
:  $(\diamondsuit^k \Box^l A \supset \Box^m \diamondsuit^n A) \land (\diamondsuit^m \Box^n A \supset \Box^k \diamondsuit^l A)$ 

**Scott-Lemmon axioms:** for a tuple (k, l, m, n) of natural numbers,

$$\mathsf{g}_{\mathsf{k}\mathsf{Imn}} \colon (\diamondsuit^k \Box^l A \supset \Box^m \diamondsuit^n A) \land (\diamondsuit^m \Box^n A \supset \Box^k \diamondsuit^l A)$$

Frame property: (Scott and Lemmon 1977) for all  $w, u, v \in W$  with  $wR^k u$  and  $wR^m v$ , there is a  $z \in W$  such that  $uR^l z$  and  $vR^n z$ .

**Scott-Lemmon axioms:** for a tuple (k, l, m, n) of natural numbers,

$$\mathsf{g}_{\mathsf{k}\mathsf{Imn}} \colon (\diamondsuit^k \Box^l A \supset \Box^m \diamondsuit^n A) \land (\diamondsuit^m \Box^n A \supset \Box^k \diamondsuit^l A)$$

Frame property: (Scott and Lemmon 1977) for all  $w, u, v \in W$  with  $wR^k u$  and  $wR^m v$ , there is a  $z \in W$  such that  $uR^l z$  and  $vR^n z$ .

Corresponding rule: (Fitting 2015)

$$\underset{\mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{gklmn}}}{\boxtimes_{\mathsf{gklmn}}} \frac{\mathsf{\Gamma}\{ \underset{i=1}{\overset{u_0}{\overset{u_1}{\rightharpoonup}} \Delta_1, \dots, \underset{i=1}{\overset{u_k}{\land}} \Delta_k, [\underset{i=1}{\overset{v_1}{\frown}} \dots, [\underset{i=1}{\overset{v_l}{\frown}} ] \dots ]] \dots ], [\underset{i=1}{\overset{w_1}{\searrow}} \Sigma_1, \dots, [\underset{i=1}{\overset{w_m}{\searrow}} \Sigma_m, [\underset{i=1}{\overset{x_1}{\frown}} ] \dots ]] \dots ]\}}{\mathsf{\Gamma}\{ \underset{i=1}{\overset{u_0}{\overset{u_1}{\frown}}} \Delta_1, \dots, [\underset{i=1}{\overset{u_k}{\land}} \Delta_k] \dots ], [\underset{i=1}{\overset{w_1}{\searrow}} \Sigma_1, \dots, [\underset{i=1}{\overset{w_m}{\boxtimes}} \Sigma_m] \dots ]\} }$$

 $v_1 \dots v_k$  and  $x_1 \dots x_n$  are fresh indexes which are pairwise distinct, except

 $v_l = x_n$ 

**Scott-Lemmon axioms:** for a tuple (k, l, m, n) of natural numbers,

$$g_{\mathsf{k}\mathsf{Imn}} \colon (\diamondsuit^k \Box^l A \supset \Box^m \diamondsuit^n A) \land (\diamondsuit^m \Box^n A \supset \Box^k \diamondsuit^l A)$$

Frame property: (Scott and Lemmon 1977) for all  $w, u, v \in W$  with  $wR^k u$  and  $wR^m v$ , there is a  $z \in W$  such that  $uR^l z$  and  $vR^n z$ .

#### Corresponding rule: (Fitting 2015)

 $v_1 \dots v_k$  and  $x_1 \dots x_n$  are fresh indexes which are pairwise distinct, except

 $v_l = x_n$ 

#### Theorem:

System in  $K + \boxtimes_{g_{klmn}}$  is sound and complete for the logic  $K + g_{klmn}.$ 

**Formulas:**  $A ::= a \mid A \land A \mid A \lor A \mid \bot \mid A \supset A$ 

Logic IK: Intuitionistic Propositional Logic

**Formulas:**  $A ::= a \mid A \land A \mid A \lor A \mid \bot \mid A \supset A \mid \Box A \mid \Diamond A$ 

Logic IK: Intuitionistic Propositional Logic

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathsf{k1:} & \Box(A \supset B) \supset (\Box A \supset \Box B) \\ \mathsf{k2:} & \Box(A \supset B) \supset (\Diamond A \supset \Diamond B) \\ \mathsf{k3:} & \Diamond(A \lor B) \supset (\Diamond A \lor \Diamond B) \\ \mathsf{k4:} & (\Diamond A \supset \Box B) \supset \Box(A \supset B) \\ \mathsf{k5:} & \Diamond \bot \supset \bot \end{array} + \mathsf{necessitativ}$$

(Plotkin and Sterling 1986)

+ necessitation: 
$$\frac{A}{\Box A}$$

**Formulas:**  $A ::= a \mid A \land A \mid A \lor A \mid \bot \mid A \supset A \mid \Box A \mid \Diamond A$ 

Logic IK: Intuitionistic Propositional Logic

$$+ \begin{array}{c} k1: \ \Box(A \supset B) \supset (\Box A \supset \Box B) \\ k2: \ \Box(A \supset B) \supset (\Diamond A \supset \Diamond B) \\ k3: \ \Diamond(A \lor B) \supset (\Diamond A \lor \Diamond B) \\ k4: \ (\Diamond A \supset \Box B) \supset \Box(A \supset B) \\ k5: \ \Diamond \bot \supset \bot \end{array} + \text{necessitation:} \begin{array}{c} A \\ \Box A \end{array}$$

(Plotkin and Sterling 1986)

Kripke semantics: (Bi)relational structures ( $W, R, \leq$ ) (Fischer-Servi 1984)

- a non-empty set W of worlds;
- a binary relation  $R \subseteq W \times W$ ;
- and a preorder  $\leq$  on W, such that:
- (F1) For all worlds u, v, v', if uRv and  $v \le v'$ , then there exists a u' such that  $u \le u'$  and u'Rv'.
- (F2) For all worlds u', u, v, if  $u \le u'$  and uRv, then there exists a v' such that u'Rv' and  $v \le v'$ .

Sequent system:

$$k_{\Box} \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A}{\Box \Gamma \Rightarrow \Box A} \qquad k_{\diamondsuit} \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B}{\Box \Gamma, \diamondsuit A \Rightarrow \diamondsuit B}$$

Sequent system:

$$k_{\Box} \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A}{\Box \Gamma \Rightarrow \Box A} \qquad k_{\Diamond} \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B}{\Box \Gamma, \Diamond A \Rightarrow \Diamond B}$$

Problem? k3, k4 and k5 are not derivable.

not a problem for modal type theory...

Sequent system:

$$k_{\Box} \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A}{\Box \Gamma \Rightarrow \Box A} \qquad k_{\Diamond} \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B}{\Box \Gamma, \Diamond A \Rightarrow \Diamond B}$$

Problem? k3, k4 and k5 are not derivable.

not a problem for modal type theory...

Labelled sequent system: (Simpson 1994)

$$\Box_{\mathsf{L}} \frac{xRy, \Gamma, x: \Box A, y: A \Rightarrow z: B}{xRy, \Gamma, x: \Box A \Rightarrow z: B} \quad \Box_{\mathsf{R}} \frac{xRy, \Gamma \Rightarrow y: A}{\Gamma \Rightarrow x: \Box A} y \text{ is fresh}$$
$$\diamond_{\mathsf{L}} \frac{xRy, \Gamma, y: A \Rightarrow z: B}{\Gamma, x: \diamond A \Rightarrow z: B} y \text{ is fresh} \quad \diamond_{\mathsf{R}} \frac{xRy, \Gamma \Rightarrow y: A}{xRy, \Gamma \Rightarrow x: \diamond A}$$

Sequent system:

$$k_{\Box} \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A}{\Box \Gamma \Rightarrow \Box A} \qquad k_{\Diamond} \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B}{\Box \Gamma, \Diamond A \Rightarrow \Diamond B}$$

Problem? k3, k4 and k5 are not derivable.

not a problem for modal type theory...

Labelled sequent system: (Simpson 1994)

$$\Box_{\mathsf{L}} \frac{xRy, \Gamma, x: \Box A, y: A \Rightarrow z: B}{xRy, \Gamma, x: \Box A \Rightarrow z: B} \quad \Box_{\mathsf{R}} \frac{xRy, \Gamma \Rightarrow y: A}{\Gamma \Rightarrow x: \Box A} \text{ y is fresh}$$
$$\diamond_{\mathsf{L}} \frac{xRy, \Gamma, y: A \Rightarrow z: B}{\Gamma, x: \diamond A \Rightarrow z: B} \text{ y is fresh} \quad \diamond_{\mathsf{R}} \frac{xRy, \Gamma \Rightarrow y: A}{xRy, \Gamma \Rightarrow x: \diamond A}$$

Controversy: (Restall 2006)

- 1. Multiplicity
- 2. Subformula property

# Nested sequents for intuitionistic modal logic

Nested sequents generalise sequents from a multiset of formulas with one formula distinguished on the right.

 $A, B \Rightarrow C$ 

# Nested sequents for intuitionistic modal logic

Nested sequents generalise sequents from a multiset of formulas *to a tree* of *multisets of formulas* with one formula distinguished in the whole tree.

$$\begin{array}{c} A, B, C \\ D & D, A \\ B & C & E \end{array}$$

### Indexed nested sequents for intuitionistic modal logic



G a set of Scott-Lemmon axioms and  $\boxtimes_G$  the corresponding set of rules.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Cut-elimination: If } \Gamma \mbox{ is provable in inIK} + \boxtimes_G + cut, \mbox{ where} \\ cut \mbox{} \frac{\Gamma\{A\} \quad \Gamma\{A\}}{\Gamma\{\ \}} & \mbox{ then } \Gamma \mbox{ is provable in inIK} + \boxtimes_G. \end{array}$ 

G a set of Scott-Lemmon axioms and  $\boxtimes_{\mathsf{G}}$  the corresponding set of rules.

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Cut-elimination: If } \Gamma \text{ is provable in inIK} + \boxtimes_G + \text{cut, where} \\ \text{cut} \ \frac{\Gamma\{A\} \quad \Gamma\{A\}}{\Gamma\{\}} \qquad \text{then } \Gamma \text{ is provable in inIK} + \boxtimes_G. \end{array}$$

**Completeness:** If A is provable in the Hilbert system IK + G, then A is provable in the indexed nested sequent system  $inIK + \boxtimes_G$ .

G a set of Scott-Lemmon axioms and  $\boxtimes_G$  the corresponding set of rules.

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Cut-elimination: If } \Gamma \text{ is provable in inIK} + \boxtimes_G + \text{cut, where} \\ \text{cut} \ \frac{\Gamma\{A\} \quad \Gamma\{A\}}{\Gamma\{\}} \qquad \text{then } \Gamma \text{ is provable in inIK} + \boxtimes_G. \end{array}$$

**Completeness:** If A is provable in the Hilbert system IK + G, then A is provable in the indexed nested sequent system  $inIK + \boxtimes_G$ .



**Counter-example to soundness:** (Simpson 1994) The formula:

$$F = (\diamond(\Box(a \lor b) \land \diamond a) \land \diamond(\Box(a \lor b) \land \diamond b)) \supset \diamond(\diamond a \land \diamond b)$$

is derivable in inIK +  $\boxtimes_{g_{1111}}$ , but is not a theorem of IK +  $g_{1111}$ .

**Counter-example to soundness:** (Simpson 1994) The formula:

$$F = (\diamond(\Box(a \lor b) \land \diamond a) \land \diamond(\Box(a \lor b) \land \diamond b)) \supset \diamond(\diamond a \land \diamond b)$$

is derivable in inIK +  $\boxtimes_{g_{1111}}$ , but is not a theorem of IK +  $g_{1111}$ .

 $\mathsf{IK}+\mathsf{G}$  and  $\mathsf{inIK}+\boxtimes_\mathsf{G}$  do not define the same logic!

**Counter-example to soundness:** (Simpson 1994) The formula:

$$F = (\diamond(\Box(a \lor b) \land \diamond a) \land \diamond(\Box(a \lor b) \land \diamond b)) \supset \diamond(\diamond a \land \diamond b)$$

is derivable in inIK +  $\boxtimes_{g_{1111}}$ , but is not a theorem of IK +  $g_{1111}$ .

 $\mathsf{IK}+\mathsf{G}$  and  $\mathsf{inIK}+\boxtimes_\mathsf{G}$  do not define the same logic!

what about birelational models?

#### Graph-consistency: (Simpson 1994)

A intuitionistic model  $\mathcal{M}$  is called graph-consistent if for any sequent  $\Gamma$ , given any homomorphism  $h: \Gamma \mapsto \mathcal{M}$ , any index w appearing in  $\Gamma$ , and any  $w' \ge h(w)$ , there exists another homomorphism  $h': \Gamma \mapsto \mathcal{M}$  such that  $h' \ge h$  and h'(w) = w'.

#### Graph-consistency: (Simpson 1994)

A intuitionistic model  $\mathcal{M}$  is called graph-consistent if for any sequent  $\Gamma$ , given any homomorphism  $h: \Gamma \mapsto \mathcal{M}$ , any index w appearing in  $\Gamma$ , and any  $w' \ge h(w)$ , there exists another homomorphism  $h': \Gamma \mapsto \mathcal{M}$  such that  $h' \ge h$  and h'(w) = w'.

#### Soundness wrt. graph-consistent models:

If A is provable in  $inIK + \boxtimes_G$  then it is valid in every graph-consistent model satisfying the corresponding Scott-Lemmon frame properties.

#### Graph-consistency: (Simpson 1994)

A intuitionistic model  $\mathcal{M}$  is called graph-consistent if for any sequent  $\Gamma$ , given any homomorphism  $h: \Gamma \mapsto \mathcal{M}$ , any index w appearing in  $\Gamma$ , and any  $w' \ge h(w)$ , there exists another homomorphism  $h': \Gamma \mapsto \mathcal{M}$  such that  $h' \ge h$  and h'(w) = w'.

#### Soundness wrt. graph-consistent models:

If A is provable in  $inIK + \boxtimes_G$  then it is valid in every graph-consistent model satisfying the corresponding Scott-Lemmon frame properties.

**Completeness?** Is there a certain set of Scott-Lemmon axioms G such that there exists a formula that is valid in every corresponding graph-consistent models, but that is not a theorem of inIK  $+ \boxtimes_G$ ?

# Conclusions

Study of some proof-theoretical properties of indexed nested sequents:

- 1. cut-elimination
- 2. intuitionistic soundness and completeness issues

# Conclusions

Study of some proof-theoretical properties of indexed nested sequents:

- 1. cut-elimination
- 2. intuitionistic soundness and completeness issues

As there is no straightforward definition of the extension of intuitionistic modal logic with Scott-Lemmon axioms, it might actually come from structural proof-theoretical studies rather than Hilbert axiomatisations or semantical considerations.

# Conclusions

Study of some proof-theoretical properties of indexed nested sequents:

- 1. cut-elimination
- 2. intuitionistic soundness and completeness issues

As there is no straightforward definition of the extension of intuitionistic modal logic with Scott-Lemmon axioms, it might actually come from structural proof-theoretical studies rather than Hilbert axiomatisations or semantical considerations.

Separation of classes/logics? nested sequents  $\subset$  indexed nested sequents  $\subset$  labelled sequents (Goré and Ramanayake 2012) (Ramanayake 2016)