▶ ROMAN KUZNETS, SONIA MARIN, AND LUTZ STRASSBURGER, Justification logic for modal logics on an intuitionistic base.

TU Wien, Treitlstraße 1-3/E191-02, A-1040 Wien, Austria.

E-mail: rkuznets@ecs.tuwien.ac.at.

IT-University, Rued Langgaards Vej 7, DK-2300 Copenhagen S, Denmark. *E-mail:* sonm@itu.dk.

Inria Saclay, 1 rue Honoré d'Estienne d'Orves, F-91120 Palaiseau, France. *E-mail*: lutz@lix.polytechnique.fr.

Justification logic is a family of modal logics generalizing the Logic of Proofs LP, introduced by Artemov in [1]. LP provides an operational view of the same type of provability as modal logic S4. Its language can be seen as a modal language where any occurrence of a formula $\Box A$ would be replaced, using a *justification term*, by t: A with the intended meaning of 't is a proof of A'.

Artemov introduced the first intuitionistic version ILP of the Logic of Proofs in [2] to unify the semantics of modalities and lambda-calculus. He shows that ILP is in correspondence with the \Box -only fragment of the constructive logic CS4 as defined in [4]. Recently, Marti and Studer [7] supplied ILP with possible worlds semantics akin to the semantics developed by Fitting for the classical Logic of Proofs [6].

Furthermore, in order to obtain an intuitionistic Logic of Proofs that was complete for Heyting arithmetic, Iemhoff and Artemov [3] added to ILP extra axioms that internalize admissible rules of intuitionistic propositional logic. The arithmetical completeness was later shown by Dashkov [5]. Finally, Steren and Bonelli [9] provided an alternative system of terms for ILP based on natural deduction with hypothetical judgements.

What unifies all these versions of intuitionistic justification logics is the exclusive attention to the provability modality. This restriction was quite natural in the classical setting, where \diamond can simply be viewed as the dual of \Box . However, on an intuitionistic base, the De Morgan duality of the \Box and \diamond modalities is lost and it is possible to treat \diamond as fully independent [8]. We therefore investigate in this work the kind of terms necessary to represent the operational side of the intuitionistic \diamond modality.

Namely, building on Artemov's treatment of the \Box -only fragment, we propose to add a second type of terms, called *witness terms*, the intuitive understanding of which is based on the view of \diamond modality as representing consistency (with \Box still read as provability). Thus, a formula $\diamond A$ is to be realized by ' μ : A'. The term μ justifying the consistency of a formula is viewed as an abstract witnessing model for the formula.

[1] SERGEI N. ARTEMOV, *Operational modal logic*, Technical Report MSI 95-29, Cornell University (1995).

[2] SERGEI N. ARTEMOV, Unified Semantics for Modality and λ -terms via Proof Polynomials, Algebras, Diagrams and Decisions in Language, Logic and Computation (Kees Vermeulen and Ann Copestake, editors), CSLI Publications, CSLI Lecture Notes, vol. 144 (2002), pp. 89–118.

[3] SERGEI N. ARTEMOV AND ROSALIE IEMHOFF, *The Basic Intuitionistic Logic of Proofs*, *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, vol. 72 (2007), no. 2, pp. 439–451.

[4] GAVIN M. BIERMAN AND VALERIA C. V. DE PAIVA, On an Intuitionistic Modal Logic, Studia Logica, vol. 65 (2000), no. 3, pp. 383–416.

[5] EVGENIJ DASHKOV, Arithmetical Completeness of the Intuitionistic Logic of Proofs, Journal of Logic and Computation, vol. 21 (2011), no. 4, pp. 665–682.

[6] MELVIN FITTING, The logic of proofs, semantically, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, vol. 132 (2005), no. 1, pp. 1–25.

[7] MICHEL MARTI AND THOMAS STUDER, Intuitionistic Modal Logic made Explicit, IfCoLog Journal of Logics and their Applications, vol. 3 (2016), no. 5, pp. 877– 901. [8] ALEX SIMPSON, The Proof Theory and Semantics of Intuitionistic Modal Logic, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Edinburgh (1994).

[9] GABRIELA STEREN AND EDUARDO BONELLI, Intuitionistic Hypothetical Logic of Proofs, Proceedings of the 6th Workshop on Intuitionistic Modal Logic and Applications (IMLA 2013) (Valeria de Paiva, Mario Benevides, Vivek Nigam, and Elaine Pimentel, editors), Elsevier, Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science, no. 300 (2014), pp. 89–103.