

A cut-free proof system for pseudo-transitive modal logics

Sonia Marin
With Lutz Straßburger

Inria, LIX, École Polytechnique

Topology, Algebra, and Categories in Logic
June 22, 2015

Classical Modal Logic

- **Formulas:** $A, B, \dots ::= p \mid \bar{p} \mid A \wedge B \mid A \vee B \mid \Box A \mid \Diamond A$
- **Axioms for K:** classical propositional logic and

$$k: \Box(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\Box A \rightarrow \Box B)$$

- **Rules:** modus ponens: $\frac{A \quad A \rightarrow B}{B}$ necessitation: $\frac{A}{\Box A}$

Classical Modal Logic

- **Formulas:** $A, B, \dots ::= p \mid \bar{p} \mid A \wedge B \mid A \vee B \mid \Box A \mid \Diamond A$
- **Axioms for K:** classical propositional logic and

$$k: \Box(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\Box A \rightarrow \Box B)$$

- **Rules:** modus ponens: $\frac{A \quad A \rightarrow B}{B}$ necessitation: $\frac{A}{\Box A}$

- **Theorem:** The logic K is sound and complete wrt Kripke frames $\langle W, R \rangle$.
 - W a non-empty set of *worlds*
 - $R \subseteq W \times W$ the *accessibility* relation

A fine selection of modal axioms

$$t: \Box A \rightarrow A$$

reflexivity

$$\forall w. wRw$$

$$4: \Box A \rightarrow \Box \Box A$$

transitivity

$$\forall xyw. xRy \wedge yRw \rightarrow xRw$$

$$4^*: \Box \Box A \rightarrow \Box \Box \Box A$$

pseudo-transitivity

$$\forall xyzw. xRy \wedge yRz \wedge zRw \rightarrow \exists u. xRu \wedge uRw$$

A fine selection of modal axioms

$t: \Box A \rightarrow A$	reflexivity	$\forall w. wRw$
$4: \Box A \rightarrow \Box \Box A$	transitivity	$\forall xyzw. xRy \wedge yRz \rightarrow xRw$
$4^*: \Box \Box A \rightarrow \Box \Box \Box A$	pseudo-transitivity	$\forall xyzw. xRy \wedge yRz \wedge zRw \rightarrow \exists u. xRu \wedge uRw$
$4_m^n: \Box_m^n A \rightarrow \Box^m A$	(m,n)-transitivity	$\forall xw. xR^m w \rightarrow xR^n w$

Pure nested sequents

- Sequent: $\Gamma ::= A_1, \dots, A_m$
- Corresponding formula: $fm(\Gamma) = A_1 \vee \dots \vee A_m$

Pure nested sequents

- **Nested** Sequent: $\Gamma ::= A_1, \dots, A_m, [\Gamma_1], \dots, [\Gamma_n]$
- Corresponding formula: $fm(\Gamma) = A_1 \vee \dots \vee A_m \vee \Box fm(\Gamma_1) \vee \dots \vee \Box fm(\Gamma_n)$

[Kashima, 1994], [Brünnler, 2009], [Poggiolesi, 2009]

Pure nested sequents

- **Nested** Sequent: $\Gamma ::= A_1, \dots, A_m, [\Gamma_1], \dots, [\Gamma_n]$
- Corresponding formula: $fm(\Gamma) = A_1 \vee \dots \vee A_m \vee \Box fm(\Gamma_1) \vee \dots \vee \Box fm(\Gamma_n)$
- Sequent context: $\Gamma \{ \} \{ \} \{ \} = A, [\{ \}], [B, \{ \}], [\{ \}]$

[Kashima, 1994], [Brünnler, 2009], [Poggiolesi, 2009]

Pure nested sequents

- **Nested** Sequent: $\Gamma ::= A_1, \dots, A_m, [\Gamma_1], \dots, [\Gamma_n]$
- Corresponding formula: $fm(\Gamma) = A_1 \vee \dots \vee A_m \vee \Box fm(\Gamma_1) \vee \dots \vee \Box fm(\Gamma_n)$
- Sequent context: $\Gamma \{ C \} \{ \} \{ \} = A, [C], [B, \{ \}], [\{ \}]$

[Kashima, 1994], [Brünnler, 2009], [Poggiolesi, 2009]

Pure nested sequents

- **Nested** Sequent: $\Gamma ::= A_1, \dots, A_m, [\Gamma_1], \dots, [\Gamma_n]$
- Corresponding formula: $fm(\Gamma) = A_1 \vee \dots \vee A_m \vee \Box fm(\Gamma_1) \vee \dots \vee \Box fm(\Gamma_n)$
- Sequent context: $\Gamma\{C\}\{[D]\}\{\} = A, [C], [B, [D], [\{\}]]$

[Kashima, 1994], [Brünnler, 2009], [Poggiolesi, 2009]

Pure nested sequents

- **Nested** Sequent: $\Gamma ::= A_1, \dots, A_m, [\Gamma_1], \dots, [\Gamma_n]$
- Corresponding formula: $fm(\Gamma) = A_1 \vee \dots \vee A_m \vee \Box fm(\Gamma_1) \vee \dots \vee \Box fm(\Gamma_n)$
- Sequent context: $\Gamma\{C\}\{[D]\}\{A, [C]\} = A, [C], [B, [D], [A, [C]]]$

[Kashima, 1994], [Brünnler, 2009], [Poggiolesi, 2009]

Pure nested sequents

- **Nested** Sequent: $\Gamma ::= A_1, \dots, A_m, [\Gamma_1], \dots, [\Gamma_n]$
- Corresponding formula: $fm(\Gamma) = A_1 \vee \dots \vee A_m \vee \Box fm(\Gamma_1) \vee \dots \vee \Box fm(\Gamma_n)$
- Sequent context: $\Gamma \{ \} \{ \} \{ \} = A, [\{ \}], [B, \{ \}], [\{ \} \{ \}]$
- **System KN:**

$$\text{id} \frac{}{\Gamma \{ a, \bar{a} \}} \vee \frac{\Gamma \{ A, B \}}{\Gamma \{ A \vee B \}} \wedge \frac{\Gamma \{ A \} \quad \Gamma \{ B \}}{\Gamma \{ A \wedge B \}} \Box \frac{\Gamma \{ [A] \}}{\Gamma \{ \Box A \}} \Diamond \frac{\Gamma \{ \Diamond A, [A, \Delta] \}}{\Gamma \{ \Diamond A, [\Delta] \}}$$

[Kashima, 1994], [Brünnler, 2009], [Poggiolesi, 2009]

Pure nested sequents

- **Nested** Sequent: $\Gamma ::= A_1, \dots, A_m, [\Gamma_1], \dots, [\Gamma_n]$
- Corresponding formula: $fm(\Gamma) = A_1 \vee \dots \vee A_m \vee \Box fm(\Gamma_1) \vee \dots \vee \Box fm(\Gamma_n)$
- Sequent context: $\Gamma \{ \} \{ \} \{ \} = A, [\{ \}], [B, \{ \}], [\{ \}]$
- **System KN**:

$$\text{id} \frac{}{\Gamma \{ a, \bar{a} \}} \vee \frac{\Gamma \{ A, B \}}{\Gamma \{ A \vee B \}} \wedge \frac{\Gamma \{ A \} \quad \Gamma \{ B \}}{\Gamma \{ A \wedge B \}} \Box \frac{\Gamma \{ [A] \}}{\Gamma \{ \Box A \}} \Diamond \frac{\Gamma \{ \Diamond A, [A, \Delta] \}}{\Gamma \{ \Diamond A, [\Delta] \}}$$

- **Theorem:** *System KN is sound and complete for the logic K.*

[Kashima, 1994], [Brünnler, 2009], [Poggiolesi, 2009]

Indexed nested sequents

- **Indexed** Sequent: $\Gamma ::= A_1, \dots, A_m, [^{w_1}\Gamma_1], \dots, [^{w_n}\Gamma_n]$
- No corresponding formula in the general case
- Indexed context: $\Gamma \{ \} \{ \} \{ \} = A, [\{ \}], [^1 B, \{ \}], [^2 \{ \}]]$
- **System** iKN:

$$\text{id} \frac{}{\Gamma \{ a, \bar{a} \}} \quad \vee \frac{\Gamma \{ A, B \}}{\Gamma \{ A \vee B \}} \quad \wedge \frac{\Gamma \{ A \} \quad \Gamma \{ B \}}{\Gamma \{ A \wedge B \}} \quad \square \frac{\Gamma \{ [^v A] \}}{\Gamma \{ \square A \}} \quad \diamond \frac{\Gamma \{ \diamond A, [^u A, \Delta] \}}{\Gamma \{ \diamond A, [^u \Delta] \}}$$

[Fitting, 2015]

Indexed nested sequents

- **Indexed** Sequent: $\Gamma ::= A_1, \dots, A_m, [^{w_1}\Gamma_1], \dots, [^{w_n}\Gamma_n]$
- No corresponding formula in the general case
- Indexed context: $\Gamma^2\{C\}^1\{\}\{\} = A, [^2C], [^1B, \{\}, [^2\{\}]]$
- **System** iKN:

$$\text{id} \frac{}{\Gamma\{a, \bar{a}\}} \quad \vee \frac{\Gamma\{A, B\}}{\Gamma\{A \vee B\}} \quad \wedge \frac{\Gamma\{A\} \quad \Gamma\{B\}}{\Gamma\{A \wedge B\}} \quad \Box \frac{\Gamma\{[^\vee A]\}}{\Gamma\{\Box A\}} \quad \Diamond \frac{\Gamma\{\Diamond A, [^u A, \Delta]\}}{\Gamma\{\Diamond A, [^u \Delta]\}}$$

[Fitting, 2015]

Indexed nested sequents

- **Indexed** Sequent: $\Gamma ::= A_1, \dots, A_m, [^{w_1}\Gamma_1], \dots, [^{w_n}\Gamma_n]$
- No corresponding formula in the general case
- Indexed context: $\Gamma \{^2 C\} \{^1 [^3 D]\} \{^2 \} = A, [^2 C], [^1 B, [^3 D], [^2 \{ \}]]$
- **System** iKN:

$$\text{id} \frac{}{\Gamma\{a, \bar{a}\}} \quad \vee \frac{\Gamma\{A, B\}}{\Gamma\{A \vee B\}} \quad \wedge \frac{\Gamma\{A\} \quad \Gamma\{B\}}{\Gamma\{A \wedge B\}} \quad \Box \frac{\Gamma\{[^\vee A]\}}{\Gamma\{\Box A\}} \quad \Diamond \frac{\Gamma\{\Diamond A, [^u A, \Delta]\}}{\Gamma\{\Diamond A, [^u \Delta]\}}$$

[Fitting, 2015]

Indexed nested sequents

- **Indexed** Sequent: $\Gamma ::= A_1, \dots, A_m, [^{w_1}\Gamma_1], \dots, [^{w_n}\Gamma_n]$
- No corresponding formula in the general case
- Indexed context: $\Gamma \{^2 C\} \{^1 [^3 D]\} \{^2 A, [^4 C]\} = A, [^2 C], [^1 B, [^3 D], [^2 A, [^4 C]]]$
- **System iKN:**

$$\text{id} \frac{}{\Gamma\{a, \bar{a}\}} \quad \vee \frac{\Gamma\{A, B\}}{\Gamma\{A \vee B\}} \quad \wedge \frac{\Gamma\{A\} \quad \Gamma\{B\}}{\Gamma\{A \wedge B\}} \quad \Box \frac{\Gamma\{[^\vee A]\}}{\Gamma\{\Box A\}} \quad \Diamond \frac{\Gamma\{\Diamond A, [^u A, \Delta]\}}{\Gamma\{\Diamond A, [^u \Delta]\}}$$

[Fitting, 2015]

Indexed nested sequents

- Indexed Sequent: $\Gamma ::= A_1, \dots, A_m, [^{w_1}\Gamma_1], \dots, [^{w_n}\Gamma_n]$
- No corresponding formula in the general case
- Indexed context: $\Gamma \{ \} \{ \} \{ \} = A, [^2\{ \}], [^1B, \{ \}], [^2\{ \}]]$
- System iKN:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{id} \frac{}{\Gamma \{ a, \bar{a} \}} \quad \vee \frac{\Gamma \{ A, B \}}{\Gamma \{ A \vee B \}} \quad \wedge \frac{\Gamma \{ A \} \quad \Gamma \{ B \}}{\Gamma \{ A \wedge B \}} \quad \square \frac{\Gamma \{ [^v A] \}}{\Gamma \{ \square A \}} \quad \diamond \frac{\Gamma \{ \diamond A, [^u A, \Delta] \}}{\Gamma \{ \diamond A, [^u \Delta] \}} \\
 \\
 \text{tp} \frac{\Gamma \{ \emptyset \} \quad \Gamma \{ A \}}{\Gamma \{ A \} \quad \Gamma \{ \emptyset \}} \quad \text{bc} \frac{\Gamma \{ [^u \Delta] \} \quad \Gamma \{ [^u] \}}{\Gamma \{ [^u \Delta] \} \quad \Gamma \{ \emptyset \}}
 \end{array}$$

[Fitting, 2015]

Indexed nested sequents

- **Indexed** Sequent: $\Gamma ::= A_1, \dots, A_m, [^{w_1}\Gamma_1], \dots, [^{w_n}\Gamma_n]$
- No corresponding formula in the general case
- Indexed context: $\Gamma^2\{\}\{^1\}\{^2\} = A, [^2\{\}], [^1B, \{\}], [^2\{\}]]$
- **System iKN:**

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{id} \frac{}{\Gamma\{a, \bar{a}\}} \quad \vee \frac{\Gamma\{A, B\}}{\Gamma\{A \vee B\}} \quad \wedge \frac{\Gamma\{A\} \quad \Gamma\{B\}}{\Gamma\{A \wedge B\}} \quad \square \frac{\Gamma\{[^\vee A]\}}{\Gamma\{\square A\}} \quad \diamond \frac{\Gamma\{\diamond A, [^u A, \Delta]\}}{\Gamma\{\diamond A, [^u \Delta]\}} \\
 \\
 \text{tp} \frac{\Gamma^w\{\emptyset\} \quad \Gamma^w\{A\}}{\Gamma^w\{A\} \quad \Gamma^w\{\emptyset\}} \quad \text{bc} \frac{\Gamma^w\{[^\vee \Delta]\} \quad \Gamma^w\{[^\vee \]\}}{\Gamma^w\{[^\vee \Delta]\} \quad \Gamma^w\{\emptyset\}}
 \end{array}$$

- **Theorem:** *System iKN is sound and complete for the logic K.*

[Fitting, 2015]

Why are we doing this?

$$t: \Box A \rightarrow A \qquad \dot{t} \frac{\Gamma\{\Delta\}}{\Gamma\{\Delta\}}$$

$$4: \Box A \rightarrow \Box\Box A \qquad \dot{4} \frac{\Gamma\{\Delta\}}{\Gamma\{\Box\Delta\}}$$

Theorem: System $KN + \dot{t}$ is sound and complete for the logic $K + t$.

Problem: System $KN + \dot{4}$ is *not* complete for the logic $K + 4$.

Why are we doing this?

$$t: \Box A \rightarrow A$$

$$t \frac{\Gamma\{\Delta\}}{\Gamma\{\Delta\}}$$

$$t^\diamond \frac{\Gamma\{\Diamond A, A\}}{\Gamma\{\Diamond A\}}$$

$$4: \Box A \rightarrow \Box\Box A$$

$$4 \frac{\Gamma\{\Delta\}}{\Gamma\{\Box\Delta\}}$$

$$4^\diamond \frac{\Gamma\{\Diamond A, [\Diamond A, \Delta]\}}{\Gamma\{\Diamond A, [\Delta]\}}$$

Theorem: System $KN + t^\diamond$ is sound and complete for the logic $K + t$.

Theorem: System $KN + 4^\diamond$ is sound and complete for the logic $K + 4$.

Why are we doing this?

$$t: \Box A \rightarrow A \qquad \dot{t} \frac{\Gamma\{\Delta\}}{\Gamma\{\Delta\}} \qquad t^\diamond \frac{\Gamma\{\Diamond A, A\}}{\Gamma\{\Diamond A\}}$$

$$4: \Box A \rightarrow \Box\Box A \qquad \dot{4} \frac{\Gamma\{\Delta\}}{\Gamma\{[\Delta]\}} \qquad 4^\diamond \frac{\Gamma\{\Diamond A, [\Diamond A, \Delta]\}}{\Gamma\{\Diamond A, [\Delta]\}}$$

$$4^*: \Box\Box A \rightarrow \Box\Box\Box A \qquad \dot{4}^* \frac{\Gamma\{[[\Delta]]\}}{\Gamma\{[[[\Delta]]]\}} \qquad \text{no } \diamond\text{-rule!}$$

Theorem: System $\text{KN} + t^\diamond$ is sound and complete for the logic $\text{K} + t$.

Theorem: System $\text{KN} + 4^\diamond$ is sound and complete for the logic $\text{K} + 4$.

Problem: complete system for $\text{K} + 4^*$?

Why are we doing this?

t: $\Box A \rightarrow A$

$$\dot{4}_0^1 \frac{\Gamma^w \{ \Gamma^w \}}{\Gamma^w \{ \emptyset \}}$$

4: $\Box A \rightarrow \Box \Box A$

$$\dot{4}_2^1 \frac{\Gamma \{ \Gamma^w, [\overset{x}{\Gamma^w} \Delta_1], \Delta_2 \}}{\Gamma \{ [\overset{x}{\Gamma^w} \Delta_1], \Delta_2 \}}$$

4_m^n : $\Box^n A \rightarrow \Box^m A$

$$\dot{4}_m^n \frac{\Gamma \{ [\overset{u_n}{\dots} [\overset{u_2}{\Gamma^w}]] \}, [\overset{x_m}{\dots} [\overset{x_2}{\Gamma^w} \Delta_1], \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_m \}}{\Gamma \{ [\overset{x_m}{\dots} [\overset{x_2}{\Gamma^w} \Delta_1], \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_m \}}$$

Theorem: System $i\text{KN} + \dot{4}_m^n$ is sound and complete for the logic $\text{K} + 4_m^n$.

Proof via syntactic cut-elimination

Theorem: *If a sequent is derivable in $iNK + \dot{4}_m^n$ together with the cut-rule*

$$\text{cut} \frac{\Gamma\{A\} \quad \Gamma\{\bar{A}\}}{\Gamma\{\emptyset\}}$$

*then it is also derivable in $iNK + \dot{4}_m^n$ **without cut**.*

Ongoing work

- decidability problems
- extension to a larger selection of modal axioms
- extension to intuitionistic modal logics
- ...

Kripke semantics

- Kripke model $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R, V \rangle$:
 - a non-empty set W of *worlds*
 - an *accessibility relation* $R \subseteq W \times W$,
 - a *valuation function* $V: W \rightarrow 2^A$

-

$w \Vdash p$ iff $w \in V(p)$

$w \Vdash \bar{p}$ iff $w \not\Vdash p$

$w \Vdash A \wedge B$ iff $w \Vdash A$ and $w \Vdash B$

$w \Vdash A \vee B$ iff $w \Vdash A$ or $w \Vdash B$

$w \Vdash \Box A$ iff for all w' if $w'Ru$, we have $u \Vdash A$

$w \Vdash \Diamond A$ iff there is a $u \in W$ such that wRu and $u \Vdash A$

$$\begin{array}{l}
\text{cont, } \dot{\Box} \frac{\diamond \Box q, [q], [[q], q, p], [[q, p], q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], [[q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], \diamond \bar{p}, \diamond \diamond p]}{\diamond \Box q, [[q], q, p], [[q, p], q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], [[q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], \diamond \bar{p}, \diamond \diamond p]} \\
\Box \frac{\diamond \Box q, [\Box q, q, p], [[q, p], q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], [[q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], \diamond \bar{p}, \diamond \diamond p]}{\diamond \Box q, [q, p], [[q, p], q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], [[q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], \diamond \bar{p}, \diamond \diamond p]} \\
\text{cont, } \diamond \frac{\diamond \Box q, [q, p], [[q, p], q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], [[q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], \diamond \bar{p}, \diamond \diamond p]}{\diamond \Box q, [[q, p], q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], [[q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], \diamond \bar{p}, \diamond \diamond p]} \\
\text{cont, } \dot{\Box} \frac{\diamond \Box q, [[q], q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], [[q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], \diamond \bar{p}, \diamond \diamond p]}{\diamond \Box q, [[q], q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], [[q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], \diamond \bar{p}, \diamond \diamond p]} \\
\Box \frac{\diamond \Box q, [\Box q, q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], [[q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], \diamond \bar{p}, \diamond \diamond p]}{\diamond \Box q, [q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], [[q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], \diamond \bar{p}, \diamond \diamond p]} \\
\text{cont, } \diamond \frac{\diamond \Box q, [q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], [[q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], \diamond \bar{p}, \diamond \diamond p]}{\diamond \Box q, [[q, \bar{p}, \diamond p], \diamond \bar{p}, \diamond \diamond p]} \\
\text{cont, } \diamond, \diamond \frac{\diamond \Box q, [[q], \diamond \bar{p}, \diamond \diamond p]}{\diamond \Box q, [\Box q, \diamond \bar{p}, \diamond \diamond p]} \\
\Box \frac{\diamond \Box q, [\Box q, \diamond \bar{p}, \diamond \diamond p]}{\diamond \Box q, [\diamond \bar{p}, \diamond \diamond p]} \\
\text{cont, } \diamond \frac{\diamond \Box q, [\diamond \bar{p}, \diamond \diamond p]}{\diamond \Box q \vee \Box (\diamond \bar{p} \vee \diamond \diamond p)} \\
\vee, \Box, \vee
\end{array}$$